

by the Japanese government during World War II. Section 803(b)(1) of this legislation excepts the disclosure of information that would "constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." This matter falls within the Committee on the Judiciary's subject matter jurisdiction under rule X(1)(1)(5) ("Civil liberties"). Section 803(b)(3) also excepts the disclosure of information that would "reveal information that would assist in the development or use of weapons of mass destruction." This matter falls within the Committee on the Judiciary's subject matter jurisdiction under rule X(1)(1)(10) ("Subversive activities affecting the internal security of the United States"). Finally, Section 803(d) pertains to the disclosure of records "related to or supporting any active or inactive investigation, inquiry, or prosecution of the Office of Special Investigations of the Department of Justice." This matter falls with the Committee on the Judiciary's subject matter jurisdiction under rule X(1)(1) ("The judiciary and judicial proceedings, civil and criminal").

The Committee on the Judiciary takes this action with the understanding that the Committee's jurisdiction over these provisions is in no way altered or diminished. I would appreciate the inclusion of this letter and your response to it in the Congressional Record during consideration of S. 384 on the House floor. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, Jr.,
Chairman.

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington, DC, March 14, 2005.

Hon. F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, Jr.,
Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary, Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your recent letter regarding the Judiciary Committee's jurisdictional interest in S. 384, a bill to extend the Nazi War Crimes and Japanese Imperial Government Records Interagency Working Group.

I agree that the Committee on the Judiciary does not waive its jurisdiction over S. 384 or similar bills by waiving further consideration of this bill. I will include a copy of your letter and this response in the Congressional Record during consideration of the legislation on the House floor. Thank you for your cooperation as we work towards the enactment of S. 384.

Sincerely,

TOM DAVIS,
Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
March 11, 2005.

Hon. TOM DAVIS,
Chairman, Committee on Government Reform, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I am writing to confirm our mutual understanding with respect to the consideration of S. 384, a bill to extend the Nazi War Crimes and Japanese Imperial Government Records Interagency Working Group. The House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence has a jurisdictional interest in S. 384.

In the interests of moving this important legislation forward, I do not intend to ask for sequential referral of this bill. However, I do so only with the understanding that this procedural route should not be construed to prejudice the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence's jurisdictional interest over this bill or any similar bill and will not be considered as precedent for consideration of matters of jurisdictional interest to the Committee in the future.

Finally, I would ask that you include a copy of our exchange of letters on this mat-

ter in the Congressional Record during the House debate on S. 384. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

PETER HOEKSTRA,
Chairman.

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,

Washington, DC, March 14, 2005.

Hon. PETER HOEKSTRA,
Chairman, House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, Capitol Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your recent letter regarding the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence's jurisdictional interest in S. 384, a bill to extend the Nazi War Crimes and Japanese Imperial Government Records Interagency Working Group.

I agree that the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence does not waive its jurisdiction over S. 384 or similar bills by waiving further consideration of this bill. I will include a copy of your letter and this response in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD during consideration of the legislation on the House floor. Thank you for your cooperation as we work towards the enactment of S. 384.

Sincerely,

TOM DAVIS,
Chairman.

Mr. SHAYS. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. SHAYS) that the House suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill, S. 384.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds of those present have voted in the affirmative.

Mrs. MALONEY. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM TO HAVE UNTIL MIDNIGHT, MARCH 31, 2005, TO FILE REPORT ON OVERSIGHT PLANS

Mr. SHAYS. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Government Reform have until midnight, March 31, 2005, to file a Report on Oversight Plans under clause 2 of rule X.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Connecticut?

There was no objection.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 6:30 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 4 o'clock and 42 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until approximately 6:30 p.m. today.

□ 1830

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. BOOZMAN) at 6 o'clock and 30 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

H. Res. 135, by the yeas and nays;

H. Res. 101, by the yeas and nays; and

S. 384, by the yeas and nays.

The first and third electronic votes will be conducted as 15-minute votes. The second vote in this series will be a 5-minute vote.

HOUSE DEMOCRACY ASSISTANCE COMMISSION RESOLUTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and agreeing to the resolution, H. Res. 135.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. BARRETT) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 135, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 386, nays 2, not voting 46, as follows:

[Roll No. 66]

YEAS—386

Abercrombie	Brown (OH)	Davis (CA)
Ackerman	Brown (SC)	Davis (IL)
Aderholt	Burgess	Davis (KY)
Akin	Burton (IN)	Davis (TN)
Allen	Butterfield	Davis, Jo Ann
Andrews	Buyer	Davis, Tom
Baca	Calvert	Deal (GA)
Bachus	Camp	DeFazio
Baker	Cannon	DeGette
Baldwin	Cantor	Delahunt
Barrett (SC)	Capito	DeLauro
Barrow	Capps	DeLay
Bartlett (MD)	Cardin	Dent
Barton (TX)	Cardoza	Diaz-Balart, L.
Bass	Carnahan	Diaz-Balart, M.
Bean	Carson	Dicks
Beauprez	Carter	Dingell
Berkley	Case	Doggett
Berman	Castle	Doolittle
Berry	Chabot	Doyle
Biggert	Chandler	Drake
Bilirakis	Choccola	Dreier
Bishop (GA)	Clay	Duncan
Bishop (NY)	Cleaver	Edwards
Bishop (UT)	Clyburn	Ehlers
Blumenauer	Coble	Emanuel
Blunt	Cole (OK)	Engel
Boehlert	Conaway	English (PA)
Boehner	Conyers	Eshoo
Bonilla	Cooper	Etheridge
Bonner	Costa	Everett
Bono	Costello	Farr
Boozman	Cox	Fattah
Boren	Crenshaw	Ferguson
Boucher	Crowley	Finer
Boyd	Cubin	Fitzpatrick (PA)
Bradley (NH)	Cuellar	Foley
Brady (PA)	Cummings	Forbes
Brady (TX)	Cunningham	Ford